

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/163.402	09/30/98	SANO		N	684 2745
—)05514	•	MM92/0416	\neg	EXAMINER	
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO				SCOTT	JR.L
0 ROCKEFELL				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK NY	10112			2881 Date Maile	iD: 04/16/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/163,402	SANO ET AL.				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Examiner	Art Unit				
·o/	Leon Scott Jr.	2881				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 26 March 2001 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)]						
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two reply expires on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, OR of whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period mailing date of the final rejection. 	o months as set forth in MPEP § 706.07 (I ontinues to run from the mailing date of the	final rejection,				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>13 December 2000</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will be entered upon with requisite fees.	the timely submission of a Notic	e of Appeal and Appeal Brief				
3. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☑ they raise the issue of new matter. (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 4. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
5. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment				
6. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
7. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
8. For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s) is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,4-8,10-15,end 17-29</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		d L. Ober Elegations				
The proposed drawing correction filed on a) has b) has not been approved by the Examiner.						
 10. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement 11. Other: Note the attached PTO-892 	1	eon Scott, (r.) mary Examiner Leon Scott Jr.				
		Leon Good of				

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The recitation "revolutions of said blower in the stand-by-state are made less than the revolutions in an inoperation-state" added to both the Abstrsct and the claims would require further consideration and/or search and it constitutes new matter..